EXHIBIT H

Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) ED	1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)			
3 ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009) BREE HANN (SBN 215695) 4 Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 5 Telephone: (415) 393-2200 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286 6 donn, pickett@bingham.com peoff.howard@bingham.com holly.house@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com 9 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 5097 Redwood City, CA 94070 12 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jemnifer.gloss@oracle.com 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 18 SAP AG, et al., ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. 23 SAP AG, et al., Defendants. Date: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)			
BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286 donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com acahary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com pen.house@bingham.com achary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com pen.house@bingham.com pen.house.pen.house.pen.house.pen.house.pen.house.pen.house.pen.house.pen.house.pen.h	3				
San Francisco, CA 9411-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286 donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.boward@bingham.com achary.alinder@bingham.com bree.ham@bingham.com achary.alinder@bingham.com bree.ham@bingham.com PORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFE'S SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER					
Fassimile: (415) 393-2286 donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com nolly.house@bingham.com archary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com BORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) S00 Oracle Parkway M/S 507 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et al, Plaintiffs, V. ORACLE USA, INC., et al, Plaintiffs, V. DATE: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	4	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			
6 donn.pickett@bingham.com geoff.howard@bingham.com 7 holly.house@bingham.com 2 achary.alinder@bingham.com 8 bree.hann@bingham.com 9 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070 12 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 19 ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, 19 Plaintiffs, 20 ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, 21 Plaintiffs, 22 V. 23 SAP AG, et al., 24 Defendants. 25 Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	5	Telephone: (415) 393-2000			
7 folly, house@bingham.com 2 achary.alinder@bingham.com 8 bree.hann@bingham.com 9 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) 11	6				
zachary.alinder@bingham.com bree.hann@bingham.com DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	7				
9 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 5097 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	1	zachary.alinder@bingham.com			
JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jemifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	8	bree.hann@bingham.com			
JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jemifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)			
M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jemifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. SAP AG, et al., Defendants. Date: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		· · ·			
Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. SAP AG, et al., Defendants. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	10	No. 10 Color			
Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. SAP AG, et al., Defendants. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	11	•			
Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. SAP AG, et al., Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	12				
jennifer.gloss@oracle.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES SAP AG, et al., Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		` ,			
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, V. SAP AG, et al., Defendants. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	13	• •			
Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14	jemmer.gioss@oracic.com			
Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Limited UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. SAP AG, et al., Defendants. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	15				
NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, v. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER					
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, v. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	16	LINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT		
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. SAP AG, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	18	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
ORACLE USA, INC., et. al, Plaintiffs, v. Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	19				
Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, v. PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	20				
Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, AMENDED INITIAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	21	ORACLE USA, INC., et. al,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL		
Date: n/a Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		Plaintiffs,			
Time: n/a Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	22	v.	AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES		
Defendants. Judge: The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	23	SAP AG, et al.,			
Courtroom 11, 19th Floor CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	24	Defendants			
CONTAINS INFORMATION DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		Defendants.			
DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	25		CONTAINS INFORMATION		
PROTECTIVE ORDER	26		DESIGNATED HIGHLY		
	27				
	28				

A/73042322.8

III. COMPUTATION OF DAMAGES¹

In connection with its Third Amended Complaint, Oracle seeks damages against Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and TomorrowNow, Inc. (collectively referred to as "Defendants") resulting from Defendants' reproduction, distribution, public display, and preparation of derivative works from copyrighted Oracle works, including its software, Software and Support Materials ("SSMs"), software containing SSMs or other copyrighted works, fraudulent access to, theft of, and further misuse of Oracle's underlying software applications as well as SSMs and non-copyrightable support materials, as well as related unfair business practices, interference with Oracle's business relationships, breaches of contract, and unjust enrichment at the expense of Oracle. Based on Oracle's investigation to date, Defendants' actions have resulted in the following categories of harm:

- Lost, diminished or delayed current and prospective customer revenues and profits, including as it relates to support and maintenance and software applications licensing;
- Harmed current and prospective customer relationships, even where they did not result in a loss of a customer support contract or software licensing;

A/73042322.8

¹ Oracle also seeks injunctive relief.

1	. •	Devaluation of Oracle's intellectual property and other intangible assets and
2	Oracle's investment in the development and/or purchase of the same,	
3	including downward pressure on the value of licenses for, harm to the	
4		confidential nature of, minimized competitive advantages regarding,
5	destruction of Oracle's exclusive exploitation of and remuneration of, and	
6		denial of Oracle's licensing rights and revenues regarding the same;
7	•	Loss of goodwill, including reputational harm and costs associated with
8		addressing Defendants' illegal conduct;
9	•	Harm to Oracle's overall market cap;
10	•	Harm and impairment to Oracle's customer support websites and underlying
11		customer support data, including impaired access to the same by Oracle and
12		its legitimate customers, harm to Oracle's control of and the ability to use the
13		same by Oracle and its customers for the purposes for which they were
14		intended, including to improve Oracle's customer support processes, and harm
15		to the functionality of these systems; and,
16	•	Costs associated with investigating, mitigating (including for example
17		lowered prices, time and effort to retain customers or to address reputational
18		harm) and litigating against all these activities.
19	•	The host of other damages attested to by Oracle witnesses, including, e.g.,
20		Juergen Rottler, such as the abandonment of existing PeopleSoft customer
21		contract step-up renewal price escalations, the early adoption and generous
22		terms of Oracle's Lifetime Support and Applications Unlimited programs and
23		additional spends on customer support enhancements.
24	Se	ome of the above types of damage include elements that are irreparable in
25	nature.	
26	O	racle is still in the process of gathering and culling down the evidence relevant
27	to its damages internally, from third parties (e.g., customers) and from Defendants. For instance	
28	-	endants' infringement and misuse of Oracle property is still being determined
	A/73042322.8	45 Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL

because of the ongoing and late production of data warehouse and other voluminous technical 1 materials and Defendants' unwillingness to stipulate to exactly what they did. Defendants have 2 yet to provide requested evidence relevant to Siebel or other Oracle applications – and indeed, 3 have fought such discovery. While the Parties have agreed on a proposed case extension which 4 would allow the inclusion of Siebel claims, the Court has yet to rule on the parties' motion. 5 Accordingly, Oracle does not discuss Siebel-related claims or damages in these disclosures, but will supplement/amend these disclosures as appropriate if the Court does approve the proposed 7 case schedule extension. Further, Oracle's ability to calculate its damages obviously is hampered by not knowing the full scope of the unlawful conduct related to the allegations in Oracle's Third Amended Complaint. Defendants have not provided relevant requested Safe 10 Passage information or information about their valuation of IP acquired legally – information 11 that bears on the value of TN and of TN's IP-theft based business model to SAP, which may be 12 relevant to what SAP would have paid for a hypothetical license. Moreover, Defendants' use of 13 the attorney/client privilege to shield the actions and knowledge of SAP and its officers and 14 directors continues to hamper assessment of punitive damages. Extensive meet and confers on 15 these issues and other damages-related discovery are ongoing and motions to compel may be 16 required. In addition, a new round of discovery (including extensive requests relevant to 17 damages) has just been served by both sides. Thus, there is ongoing relevant discovery that 18 could and likely will affect assessing and computing appropriate damages. 19 To complete their analysis and computations, Oracle's damages experts are 20 waiting for the additional factual material yet to be produced and analyzed; moreover, they have 21 not finalized their methodologies or computations of Oracle's damages. In addition, legal 22 rulings (such as on Defendants' promised summary judgment motions) may affect the final 23 damages methodologies and computations. Oracle's damages report and expert opinions will be 24 provided in accordance with whatever schedule is then in place in the action. Thus the following 25 description does not constitute Oracle's damages analysis or demand and does not in any way 26 bind Oracle, but merely provides Oracle's current understanding of the damages here. 27 Oracle has ten causes of action; some of the damages described overlap and 28 A/73042322.8

46

Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL

different plaintiffs bring different causes of action. Oracle would not seek or be entitled to 1 duplicate recovery though it will likely seek damages in the alternative. 2 A. In connection with its Copyright Infringement cause of action, Oracle has 3 alternative measures of its damages available to it under the law. Oracle reserves its right to 4 elect statutory damages for Defendants' infringement at the appropriate time, but currently does 5 not envision that it will do so. Rather, it currently seeks from Defendants the value of the 6 copyrighted material Defendants infringed both through hypothetical license(s) and, in the 7 alternative, through a lost profits/infringers' profits analysis. Oracle expects to seek to recover damages based on a hypothetical license for the 9 PSFT/JDE-related infringement by Defendants. Accordingly, the value of the PS/JDE-related 10 hypothetical license is determined by the value of the rights being licensed or received, as 11 evidenced by the circumstances at the time (e.g., for Oracle - the fact that Oracle had just paid 12 significant amounts for the companies, the anticipated value to Oracle of the PSFT/JDE 13 acquisitions, Oracle's cross-sell and up-sell and service renewal history; for Defendants - the 14 fact that the acquisitions significantly increased Oracle's threat to SAP, the anticipated value to 15 SAP of the TN acquisition, the amount that would not have to be expended by Defendants to 16 legitimately create what they would instead license (which will also be the subject of expert 17 analysis and relied upon by Oracle's damages experts), SAP's cross-sell and up-sell and service 18 renewal history). Based on the evidence to date, Oracle anticipates valuing the hypothetical 19 licenses in the billions of dollars. However, no formal computation has been completed. 20 Oracle's alternative lost profits and infringers' profits analysis for its copyright 21 infringement claims against Defendants is ongoing and incomplete. It currently will encompass 22 the lost profits associated with support customers who left Oracle, PeopleSoft or J.D. Edwards 23 for SAP and TN, service-related discounts required to compete against SAP and TN, and lost 24 license sales and license discounts associated with competition with SAP and TN. Evidence 25 relevant to this analysis is contained, for example, in Defendants' multiple internal and external 26 reports of the revenues taken away from Oracle by TN and by the Safe Passage program, in the 27 voluminous customer contracts and related files produced by the parties, in the customer 28 A/73042322.8 47 Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL

financial reports that the parties have created and produced, in Oracle's At Risk reports and TN 1 win-back spreadsheets, in the extensive documents on support renewal cancellations and license 2 wins and losses against SAP and TN, in Oracle's productions and pending productions on 3 pricing exceptions for support and licenses requested and approved to compete with TN and Safe 4 Passage. Should the current schedule remain in place, Oracle will also be providing additional 5 evidence relied upon by its experts in conjunction with its damages expert report, including on 6 the purchasing history of its PeopleSoft and JDE customer base post-acquisition. Based on the 7 evidence to date, Oracle anticipates that the lost revenues associated with support losses and 8 discounts alone exceed \$100 million dollars. Oracle's infringers' profits analysis includes the 9 profits on the revenues SAP and TN made from their copyright infringement, including some or 10 all of the approximately \$40 million TN reported as revenues, and a significant portion of the 11 approximately \$500 million in license revenue associated with SAP's leverage of TN and Safe 12 Passage in the sale of license applications. Further evidence in support of this aspect of Oracle's 13 damages is described in section E below. 14 B. Portions of Oracle's damages from Defendants' violations of the Federal 15 Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, of the Computer Data Access and Fraud Act and in connection 16 with Defendants' Trespass to Chattels were testified to by Dr. Koehler in his Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 17 30(b)(6) deposition. He also provided a written outline of harm and damages to Oracle's 18 computer systems, databases, data and network, Ex. 167, from which he testified. Oracle refers 19 Defendants to that testimony and the accompanying exhibits. In addition, Defendants' actions 20 adversely impacted Oracle's goodwill and caused it to lose business. These damages overlap in 21 large measure with those described below related to Defendants' breach of contract and 22 interference with Oracle's prospective economic advantage; they are not bounded by 23 Defendants' infringement of copyrighted materials. No formal computation of any of these 24 damages has been completed to date. Any additional evidence in support (e.g., salary 25 information associated with members of the investigations team, the cost of the associated 26 laptops) will be provided in connection with Oracle's expert report or, if an extension is granted, 27 once it has been gathered. In addition, as allowed by statute or law, Oracle will seek its tens of 28 A/73042322.8 48 Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL

millions of dollars of associated attorneys' fees, as well as punitive damages, in an amount to be 1 proved at trial. 2 C. Oracle's damages from Defendants' breaches of contract overlap with its 3 lost profits analysis, described below. Moreover, the breach of contract damages are distinct 4 from Defendants' infringement of copyrighted materials, as described in Oracle's responses to 5 Defendants' Fifth Set of Interrogatories. No formal computation has been completed to date. 6 D. The harm from Oracle's interference claims overlaps in part with its 7 alternative infringement lost profits analysis, described above, albeit with different plaintiffs. 8 However, as described in detail in Oracle's responses to Defendants' Fifth Set of Interrogatories 9 (and as highlighted in a number of the bullet points above), Defendants have interfered with 10 Oracle's current or prospective customer relationships, in ways that do not solely involve 11 copying, distribution, public display or creation of a derivative work, causing further and 12 additional lost profit damages and other types of harm like harm to goodwill and other 13 reputational harm. No formal computation as to such harms, other than as built into the analysis 14 above, has yet been completed. Because of the willfulness of Defendants' interference, 15 including TN and SAP's knowledge of the illegality of TN's method of service delivery and their 16 lengthy failure to correct and make legal that business delivery despite knowing of its existence 17 from due diligence and continuing that illegal business model for over a year and a half after 18 being sued by Oracle, Oracle will also be seeking significant punitive damages, in an amount to 19 be proved at trial. 20 E. Defendants were also unjustly enriched and received ill-gotten gains at the 21 expense of Oracle, other than as described in its copyright infringement action, by all the illegal 22 conduct and other unfair business practices listed in Oracle's Third Amended Complaint and as 23 described in Oracle's responses to Defendants' Fifth Set of Interrogatories. These include gains 24 and/or profits SAP and TN made from those unjust, unfair, illegal, and deceptive activities, 25 including some or all of the approximately \$40 million TN reported as profits, and a portion of 26 the approximately \$500 million in license revenue associated with SAP's leverage of TN in the 27 sale of license applications, reputational harm, and the amount that Defendants saved in taking 28 A/73042322.8 49 Case No. 07-CV-1658 (PJH) EDL

1	from Oracle the above-described material rather than legitimately creating it (which will also be		
2	the subject of expert analysis and relied upon by Oracle's damages experts), and attorneys' fees		
3	as provided by statute or law. No formal computation has been completed to date. Evidence		
4	relevant to Defendants' unjust enrichment and the ill-gotten gains received through their unfair		
5	business practices is primarily contained in Defendants' internal analyses and presentations on		
6	Safe Passage and SAP TN and the customer contracts and related files and electronic customer		
7	financial reports Defendants produced on the list of 81 customers and the numerous depositions		
. 8	of Defendants' witnesses related to Safe Passage and leveraging TomorrowNow to compete		
9	against Oracle; also relevant are Defendants' documents and testimony on their profit margins;		
10	Oracle has requested and will be pursuing production of additional Safe Passage damages-related		
11	information from Defendants, which is also relevant. Other relevant evidence includes Oracle's		
12	at-risk reports and other customer financial reports and evidence as to the amount that		
13	Defendants saved in taking from Oracle the above described material rather than legitimately		
14	creating it.		
15	IV. INSURANCE COVERAGE		
16	This category of initial disclosures is not applicable to Oracle at this time.		
17	V. FORM OF PRODUCTION		
18	Oracle will produce all documents electronically in the following form:		
19	(1) For paper documents, Oracle will provide a standard delimited data load file		
20	containing the following fields for loading into Summation (or another format if requested by		
21	Defendants): (1) Beginning and Ending Control Number, (2) Beginning and Ending Attachment		
	Defendants): (1) Beginning and Ending Control Number, (2) Beginning and Ending Attachment		
22	Number, (3) Page Count, (4) Custodian, and (5) OCR text. For each paper document, Oracle		
2223			
	Number, (3) Page Count, (4) Custodian, and (5) OCR text. For each paper document, Oracle		
23	Number, (3) Page Count, (4) Custodian, and (5) OCR text. For each paper document, Oracle will provide black/white group IV single page TIFF images with a standard Summation DII		
23 24	Number, (3) Page Count, (4) Custodian, and (5) OCR text. For each paper document, Oracle will provide black/white group IV single page TIFF images with a standard Summation DII delimited load file. For any color documents, Oracle will initially produce documents in		
23 24 25	Number, (3) Page Count, (4) Custodian, and (5) OCR text. For each paper document, Oracle will provide black/white group IV single page TIFF images with a standard Summation DII delimited load file. For any color documents, Oracle will initially produce documents in black/white format, but will at Defendants' request provide color JPEG images only when the		

1	loading into Summation (or another format if requested by Defendants): (1) Beginning and		
2	Ending Control Number, (2) Beginning and Ending Attachment Number, (3) Document Type,		
3	(4) Date Sent and Received, (5) Date Modified, (6) Date Created, (7) Custodian, (8) Author, (9)		
4	Recipient, (10) CC, (11) BCC, (12) Email Subject Line, and (13) Filename. For each email with		
5	an attachment or attachments, the email should be an individual record in the load file followed		
6	sequentially by its respective attachment or attachments and the attachment's metadata. For all		
7	electronic documents, including email and email attachments, Oracle will provide black/white		
8	group IV single page TIFF images with a standard Summation DII delimited load file. For any		
9	color documents, Oracle will initially produce documents in black/white format, but will at		
. 10	Defendants' request provide color JPEG images when the color is necessary to decipher the		
11	document. Oracle will provide Microsoft Excel and similar files (ones that are only reasonably		
12	usable in their native format) in native format with the original un-modified metadata. Oracle		
13	will not provide corresponding TIFF images for files produced in native format, such as		
14	Microsoft Excel files. A placeholder image will be inserted in the electronic production for any		
15	native format documents.		
16	VI. CERTIFICATION		
17	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(g)(1), counsel for Oracle certifies that to the best of		
18	its knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry that is reasonable under the		
19	circumstances, these supplemental and amended Initial Disclosures are complete and correct as		
20	of the time Oracle makes them.		
21			
22	DATED: May 22, 2009		
23	Bingham McCutchen LLP		
24			
25	By: Zachary J. Alinder		
26	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation,		
	and Oracle EMEA Limited		
27	and Ofacie EMEA Limited		

1	PROOF OF SERVICE					
2		I am over 18 years of age, no	ot a party to this action and employed in the			
3	County of San Francisco, California at Three Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California					
4	94111-4067.	94111-4067. I am readily familiar with the practice of this office for collection and processing				
5	of correspon	of correspondence by U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail, and they are deposited and/or sent that				
6	same day in the ordinary course of business.					
7	Today I served the following document:					
8	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES					
9						
10 11	×	portable document format (P	by transmitting via electronic mail document(s) in DF) listed below to the email address set forth below			
12		on this date.				
13	×	, , ,	e and correct copy of the above to be placed in the ancisco, California in sealed envelope(s) with postage			
14		prepaid, addressed as set for	th below. I am readily familiar with this law firm's rocessing of correspondence for mailing with the			
15		United States Postal Service. Postal Service the same day	Correspondence is deposited with the United States it is left for collection and processing in the ordinary			
16		course of business.				
17	Robe	ert A. Mittelstaedt, Esq.	Tharan Gregory Lanier, Esq.			
18		s Day California Street	Jane L. Froyd, Esq. Jones Day			
19		Floor Francisco, CA 94104	1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303			
20		415) 626.3939	Tel: (650) 739-3939			
21	ramit	telstaedt@JonesDay.com	tglanier@JonesDay.com jfroyd@JonesDay.com			
22						
23	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at					
24	whose direction the service was made and that this declaration was executed on May 22, 2009, a					
25	San Francisc	o, California.	0			
26			Rosaleen Doran			
27			Rosalcon Doran			
28						